



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/887,504 06/22/2001 D		Douglas Deao	TI-30100	7710		
			EXAMINER			
Robert L. Troike			CHAVIS, JOHN Q			
Texas Instrumen	its Incorporated					
P.O. Box 655474, MS 3999			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Dallac TY 75265			2124	2124		

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fr

					^			
		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	1/2			
Office Action Summary		09/887	,504	DEAO ET AL.	/ /			
		Examir	ner	Art Unit				
		John C		2124	·			
Ine Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communoly	nication appears on t	the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	SS			
THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to replay recovery	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this common for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 for reply is specified above, the maximum strolly within the set or extended period for reply believed by the Office later than three months of term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be ti statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this comm COMMED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Resp	oonsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>22 <i>June 2001</i></u>						
2a)☐ This	action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
•	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4a) C 5)	n(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the aport the above claim(s) is/an(s) is/are allowed. n(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restricted.	re withdrawn from o						
Application Pa	apers		-					
10)☐ The d Appli Repla	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are cant may not request that any objectement drawing sheet(s) including that or declaration is objected to	: a) ☐ accepted or ection to the drawing(s g the correction is requ	e) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1	` '			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) <u></u> All 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐	Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority	documents have be documents have be of the priority docu onal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicat ments have been receiv tule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of Dr 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or //Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal D 6) Other:		2)			

Art Unit: 2124

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Proteat et al. (5,970,245).

### Claims:

- 1. In a data exchange system for transferring data between a host processor and a target processor comprising
- a data unit on said target processor that transfers said data from said target processor to an emulator and a device driver on said host processor that transfers data from said emulator,

a system for dynamically linking and loading emulation software support for a new target processor comprising:

#### Proteat

See the title and abstract and col. 2 lines 35-47, which indicates that multiple targets are present and furthermore indicates that the DLL's may be received from one or more communication devices (target) coupled to the computer (host).

See the DLL, which is resolved at runtime, col. 3 lines 13-23. The trace

Art Unit: 2124

procedures perform the emulation functions, via its one to one correspondence with the target DLL, col. 2 lines 48-64.

a target interface module for the host computer that supports the new target processor kind; and The target DLL provides the target interface module for the host.

a target interface module for the emulator that supports the new target processor kind.

The trace DLL provides the Target emulator that supports the new target.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Proteat as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of choice of selecting a specific debug link and a specific communication module.

## Claims

2. A method for at time of use linking and loading of emulation software for one or more debuggers on a host computer to communicate with a mix of target processors via a JTAG debug link and emulator comprising the steps of:

connecting a debugger for each processor to a target interface for that kind of processor; determining if there is support for that kind

### Proteat

The features of this claim are indicated above. The only feature that is not taught is the feature of utilizing a JTAG debug link; however, it is noted that this feature is not defined in the specifications and therefore the feature is considered to

Art Unit: 2124

of processor in the emulator by the target interface communicating with a dynamic loader on the host computer;

if not support loading a target interface into the emulator and connecting to an already running emulation software on the host computer; and

connecting the target interface software on the emulator to the target interface software on the host computer.

invention to ensure enablement for the applicant's invention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to Utilize a specific debug link in Proteat's system, since it is clear that some type of link is required to connect the two systems to ensure proper communication between the systems implementing different targets. Also, the DLL feature inherently provides for determining and loading features. Note also that specific DLL's are selectively linked (if not support loading...), col. 4 lines 21-63.

have been well known in the

art at the time of the

- 3. The method of claim 2 wherein said steps are repeated for each debugger, for each kind of processor on the target **system**.
- 4. The method of claim 2 wherein said determining step includes communicating using ECOM modules on the host computer and emulator over a host computer to emulator connection.

See col. 3 line 59-col. 4 line 5.

The ECOM modules are also not specifically taught by Proteat; however, again it is clear that some type of Functions (modules) are provided for Proteat's system and therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize specific functions (ECOM modules) in Proteat's system since the

Art Unit: 2124

overall functionality of the system remains the same regardless of which specific modules are used and it is clear that the applicant merely selected specific modules to use; since, they are also not defined in the specifications.

5. A system for at time of use linking and loading of emulation software for one or more debuggers on a host computer to communicate with a mix of target processors via a JTAG debug link and emulator comprising:

a debugger for each processor connected to a target interface for that type of processor;

means for determining if there is support for that type of processor in the emulator by communicating with a dynamic loader on the host computer; means if there is no support for loading a target interface into the emulator

and connecting to an already running emulation software on the host computer; and means for providing a connection to the target interface on the emulator to the target interface software on the host computer.

6. The system of claim 3 wherein a single debugger can support more than one kind of processor and

including a system description file stored on the host computer describes a particular mix of processors to be supported and said debugger reads the system description to determine which kinds of target interfaces are required for operation and

then it communicates with each required target interface to establish connection.

See the rejection of claim 2.

These features are inherent in the features of claims 2.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/887,504

Art Unit: 2124

7. In combination: a single debugger that can support more than one processor or kind of processor;

a system description file stored on a host computer describes the particular mix of processors to be supported;

said debugger reads this system description to determine which kinds of target interfaces are required for operation and

then communicates with each required target interface to establish connection to the target system.

See again the rejection of claim 2 above.

8. The combination of claim 7 wherein said debugger determines if there is support for that kind of processor in the emulator by the target interface communicating with a dynamic loader on the host computer; if not support loads a target interface into the emulator and connects to an already running emulation software on the host computer; and connects the target interface software on the emulator to the target interface software on the host computer.

See the rejection of claim 2.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Chavis whose telephone number is (703) 305-9665. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2124

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jqc

May 13, 2004

John Chavis

Patent Examiner (AU-2124)